

TRI-WEEKLY KENTUCKY YEOMAN.

PRINTED AND PUBLISHED BY
I. M. MAJOR & CO.,
MAIN STREET, NEAR THE MANSION HOUSE

TERMS.
One copy, per annum, in advance.....\$3 00
One do. do. at the end of the year.....4 00
Fifty copies, per annum, in advance.....50 00

BUSINESS CARDS

HORD & METCALFE,
ATTORNEYS AT LAW,
FRANKFORT, KY.

LYSANDER HORD and **JAS. T. METCALFE,**
have formed a partnership for the practice of law
and the collection of claims. If business entrusted
to them will receive prompt attention.
Office the same as occupied by Judge Hord, on St.
Clair street, (April 24, 1858.)

MAJOR & JOHNSON,
ATTORNEYS AT LAW,
FRANKFORT, KY.

OFFICE on St. Clair street, near the Court House.
Will practice in the Circuit Court of the 5th Judicial
District, Court of Appeals, Federal Court, and
all other courts held in Frankfort.

S. D. MORRIS,
ATTORNEY & COUNSELOR AT LAW
FRANKFORT, KY.

PRACTICES in all the courts held in Frankfort,
and in the adjoining counties. He will attend
particularly to the collection of debts in any part of
the State. All business confided to him will meet
with prompt attention.
Office on St. Clair street in the new building
next door to the Branch Bank of Kentucky, over G.
W. Craddock's office. (mar 17)

JOHN M. HARLAN,
ATTORNEY AT LAW,
FRANKFORT, KY.

Office on St. Clair st., with J. & W. L. Harlan.
REFERS TO
Hon. J. J. Crittenden,
Gov. J. W. Powell,
Hon. James Harlan,
Treas. Turner, & Co. Bankers, Lexington, Ky.
G. H. Monsarrat & Co. Louisville, Ky.

G. W. CRADDOCK,
ATTORNEY AT LAW
FRANKFORT, KY.

OFFICE west side of St. Clair street, near the
Branch Bank of Ky.; will practice at law in all
the courts held in Frankfort, and adjoining counties.
mar 22 1858

E. A. W. ROBERTS,
ATTORNEY AT LAW,
FRANKFORT, KY.

WILL practice in the Franklin Circuit Court, and
in the courts of the adjoining counties.
May 19-11

JOHN RODMAN,
ATTORNEY AT LAW,
ST. CLAIR STREET,
Two doors North of the Court-house

BEN. J. MONROE,
ATTORNEY AT LAW,
FRANKFORT, KY.

JOHN M. McCALLA,
Attorney at Law, and General Agent,
WASHINGTON CITY, D. C.

JOHN A. MONROE,
ATTORNEY AND COUNSELOR AT LAW
FRANKFORT, KY.

WILL practice Law in the Court of Appeals, in the
Franklin Circuit Court, and all other State
Courts held in Frankfort, and will attend to the
collection of debts for non-residents in any part of
the State.

Always at home, every communication will have
attention on the same day received, and will be
promptly answered, and thus his clients kept always
advised of their affairs. And having determined to
have all his briefs and papers furnished to his clients
and counsel in the lowest courts, all concerned will be
informed how his duty has been performed.

He will as Commissioner of Deeds, take and
acknowledgments of deeds, and other writing to be
recorded in other States; and, as Commissioner under
the act of Congress, attend to the taking of
depositions, affidavits, etc.
mar 15-11

NEW FIRM.
ED. KEENON.....JNO. N. CRUTCHER,
HAYING PURCHASED THE STOCK OF
BOOTS, SHOES, HATS, CAPS,
Books & Stationery.

H. EVANS, ALSO THAT OF MORRIS &
HAMPTON, will continue to carry on the above
business, at the stand occupied by H. Evans, on Main
street, where, by strict attention to business, they hope
to merit as well as receive a liberal share of the public
patronage.
mar 11 1858-11

G. W. BLISS.....W. T. WEAVER,
BLISS & WEAVER,
MANUFACTURERS
And Wholesale and Retail Dealers in
HATS, CAPS, FURS,
TRIMMINGS,
AND
STRAW GOODS,
495 Main street, between 3d and 4th,
NEXT DOOR TO THE NATIONAL HOTEL.
LOUISVILLE, KY.
mar 30-ly

Peter & Buchanan,
(Successors to Munro & Buchanan.)
STEEL PLOWS, CULTIVATORS,
AND
Cummings' Straw and Stalk Cutters,
DEALERS in Garden and Grass Seeds, Agricultural
implements and Machines, large and small,
all kinds, Linn, Hydraulic Cement, Plaster of Paris,
Crown Pottery, Apples, Potatoes, and Dried Fruit,
494 Main st., bet. Fourth and Fifth,
LOUISVILLE, KY.
mar 30-ly

MERCHANT & SMITH,
LUMBERS & TINNERS
SHOP ON ST. CLAIR STREET.
Opposite the Post Office.....FRANKFORT, KY

WATER Closets, Bath Tubs, and Cold Shower
Baths, Wash Trays, Plain and Fancy Wash
Stands, and every description of Plumbing work put
up in the most workman like manner.
Copper, Tin and Sheet Iron Work
Spouting and Gutting
Of all descriptions. Constantly on hand and large
assortment of
COOKING, PARLOR and COAL STOVES,
Cisterns, Well and Force Pumps; Sheet Lead, Pipe,
etc. All orders promptly attended to
Jas 1-11 M & S

A FORTUNE OF \$70,000 TO BE HAD FOR TEN DOLLARS.

SWAN & CO.'S LOTTERIES.
AUTHORIZED BY THE STATE OF GA.

THE FOLLOWING SCHEME WILL BE
drawn by S. Swan & Co., Managers of the
Sparta Academy Lottery, in each of their Single Number
Lotteries for May, 1858, at AUGUSTA,
Georgia, in public, under the superintendence of
Commissioners.

CLASS 13.
To be drawn in the City of Augusta, Georgia, in
public, on
Saturday.....May 1, 1858.

CLASS 14.
To be drawn in the City of Augusta, Georgia, in
public, on
Saturday.....May 8, 1858.

CLASS 15.
To be drawn in the City of Augusta, Georgia, in
public, on
Saturday.....May 15, 1858.

CLASS 16.
To be drawn in the City of Augusta, Georgia, in
public, on
Saturday.....May 22, 1858.

CLASS 17.
To be drawn in the City of Augusta, Georgia, in
public, on
Saturday.....May 29, 1858.

On the Plan of Single Numbers.
**Five Thousand, Four Hundred and
Eighty-Five Prizes.**
Nearly one Prize to every 9 tickets.

**MAGNIFICENT SCHEME
TO BE DRAWN
EACH SATURDAY IN MAY**

1	Prize of	\$70,000
1	do	30,000
1	do	10,000
1	do	5,000
1	do	4,000
1	do	3,000
1	do	2,500
4	do	1,000
4	do	900
4	do	800
4	do	700
4	do	600
50	do	500
50	do	300
100	do	100
250	do	100

THE YEOMAN:

Published Tuesdays, Thursdays and Saturdays

BY S. I. M. MAJOR & COMPANY.

S. I. M. MAJOR, Editor.

TUESDAY, JUNE 1, 1858.

FRANKFORT:

FOR CLERK OF THE COURT OF APPEALS,

RANKIN R. REVILL,

OF OWEN.

COUNTY NOMINATIONS.

FOR COUNTY JUDGE,

WILLIAM PATTIE.

FOR SHERIFF,

W. S. DEHONEY.

FOR JAILER,

JOHN J. SMITHER.

COUNTY ATTORNEY,

E. A. W. ROBERTS.

FOR CORONER,

H. S. MOORE.

FOR ASSESSOR,

PETER JETT.

FOR SURVEYOR,

W. F. GRAHAM.

The Rix Rax as a Candidate.

We have given our readers some idea of the Rix Rax as a Legislator—Governor Owens in a very emphatic, though silent manner, gave the people an intimation of his (the Governor's) opinion of the Rix Rax as a Judge, and it is now our painful duty to announce to the Rix Rax as he exhibits himself in the capacity of candidate for Clerk of the Court of Appeals.—Of course, those who are somewhat familiar with the eccentricities of the grotesque "embodiment of forensic eloquence," will not expect an ordinary canvass from the Know Nothing candidate. We have watched his course attentively, and are prepared to say that the Rix Rax, considered as a candidate, is a decided failure. He has disappointed not only his own party, but we are happy to state that he has also disappointed his political enemies. It was generally supposed from the extravagant newspaper puff that Rix Rax could make great speech. Those acquainted with his antecedents were predisposed to this opinion by reason of a time-honored axiom which declares that nothing is made in vain. The convention which nominated him got excited and enthusiastically silly over its candidate. The facts that he is miraculously ugly and has a dark skin, were embraced as omens of his certain success.

Well, the Rix Rax having received the nomination and his credentials started out upon his pilgrimage. The great orator—the thrilling speaker—opened his mouth and spoke. His speeches are like himself—shapeless, pointless, coarse, demagogic, frothy, and impertinent. Let us examine some of the points which this model Know Nothing candidate makes in his appeals to the public for office.

1st. He is not a Know Nothing. He swears on all occasions that he never joined the order. He presents this assumed fact, with the air of one who by virtuous self denial has deprived himself of a great luxury—for the good of the country. He evidently thinks this an excellent reason why the Know Nothings should vote for him, and he seems to be certain that no Democrat can resist this powerful recommendation. The order has got so low down that its candidate regards his non-compliance with its requirements as his chief virtue.

2d. The illness of the first Clerk of our last Legislature, though unfortunate to the State and to Mr. McClary, was a perfect God send to the Hon. George R. McKee. He roams over the State and industriously charges the illness of Mr. McClary to Democratic extravagance. He dilates with all his "marvellous eloquence" upon the fact that the cost for clerk hire for our last Legislature exceeded the cost of clerk hire for the Legislature preceding it; but he studiously conceals the fact that the surplus was the unavoidable consequence of the sickness of Mr. McClary. This is perhaps very well for a Know Nothing candidate, and doubtless exceedingly creditable to the Rix Rax, but it is a pitiful specimen of demagoguery which a high minded gentleman would blush for. It is not exactly a direct lie, but it is a suppression of the truth, which is quite as mean as a downright falsehood.

3d. The third great argument of the tremendous Rix Rax is founded upon our last Legislature. After using up the clerks *seriatim*, he lays his remorseless paw upon the whole Legislature. It is impossible to enumerate here all the objections which the Hon. George R. McKee has to the proceedings of our last Legislature. It is not surprising that such a model and magnificent Legislator as the Journals of the House prove Rix Rax to be, should be displeased with the doings of ordinary men. It is not to be expected that the would-be murderer of the Common School system—the man who attempted to repeal the code of Practice—in short the Don Quixote of many a wind-mill fight in our Legislative Halls—can regard with any degree of favor the legislation of men who seek the good of their constituents rather than personal aggrandizement.

4th. In certain localities, Frankfort for instance, the Honorable George R. McKee vents torrents of indignation upon the Lecompton Constitution. This, though, is a subject which the Know Nothing candidate touches with extreme caution. He is pig or puppy as public sentiment may indicate pig or puppy policy.

With these themes added to some minor matters, such as the dark skin of his father, and the stinker which he defended, the candidate of the Know Nothing party travels over the State and spouts and perspires for hours to such persons as will hear him. Of his own past history he is significantly silent. He attempts to rise on the cements of others and wisely abandons all hopes of popularity growing out of his own exploits.—He is a model candidate of a model party. He is a credit to the Know Nothing Society, and we think he found his level when he rested his hopes upon its bosom. We also think that the principles of the Know Nothing party set with admirable grace upon the brows of its illustrious candidate.

didate. We wish each much joy of the other, and a speedy deliverance from the cares of a political life in the abyss of oblivion which surely awaits them.

The Louisville papers of yesterday, announced the death of WILLIAM D. REED, in the forty-fourth year of his age. He died on Sunday, of a disease that had prostrated him for more than eighteen months past, and that in its painful career had effected change of climate and the skill of the first physicians.

Mr. REED was eminent as a lawyer and politician in this State, and his untimely demise in the prime of life and usefulness, though not altogether unexpected, is yet very mournful intelligence to the host of friends drawn to himself in his honorable career as a public man. His loss will be particularly regretted at this place, of which he was so long a prominent citizen. He has occupied several distinguished positions in public life. He represented this county in the Legislature in the session of 1846-7. He succeeded G. B. KINCAD, Esq., as Secretary of State to Gov. OWEN, and acted to the end of that administration. After his removal to Louisville, he was nominated by the Democratic State Convention on the 8th of January, 1856, as the elector for the 7th District, on the BUCHANAN and BRECKINRIDGE ticket, and in that Presidential campaign, made a thorough and brilliant canvass of his district, and of all the Southern portion of Kentucky—contributing by his animated discussions and powerful arguments upon the stump, perhaps as much as any other man, to the complete triumph of Democratic principles in this State. He was taken sick about the conclusion of the campaign. The disease was of a most painful character, and lingered on, until the present, to terminate in death.

He ranked high as a lawyer and enjoyed an extensive and lucrative practice in our principal State Courts. He was decided and earnest in his political sentiments. He was a Whig up to 1852, at which time, deeming his old party had abandoned its principles and forfeited its claims to the confidence of the South, he allied himself to the Democracy in advance of the host of good men and true who were driven to similar conclusions at a later date. Like most of men of will and energy he was strong in his prejudices but warm in his friendships—generous if not always just.—The loss of a man so distinguished and promising in public life and so beloved in private life is not soon supplied.

County Nominations.

The Committee appointed by the late Democratic County Convention to fill any vacancies that might occur in the ticket for county offices, met at this place on yesterday and made the following nominations:

For County Judge—WILLIAM PATTIE.
For Jailor—JOHN J. SMITHER.
For Surveyor—W. F. GRAHAM.

The ticket is now complete and we are satisfied that the people will endorse us in saying that a better could not be presented. The candidates are all sterling men—fully competent to the discharge of the duties of the offices to which they aspire. We can say for them that they will do their duty in the canvass and a united support on the part of their friends will crown their efforts with a triumphant and brilliant victory in August. Much good will attend such a happy result. The Democracy carried old Franklin—the head quarters of the enemy—against odds and foul play in 1857—will they ground arms and march under the yoke now without a blow for victory? We shall see.

A Good Run.—The splendid steamer Diana, Capt. E. T. STURGEON, on her recent trip from New Orleans, made the quickest trip of the season. She left New Orleans on Monday, and made the run in five days three hours and forty-two minutes, made twenty-five landings and lost two hours by fog. The Diana, besides being a very fast boat, is one of the best on the river. She is commanded by Capt. E. T. STURGEON, one of the oldest and most popular Captains on the river, and has our fellow-town man, J. W. FORKES, as one of her clerks. We are frequently under obligations to him for late Southern papers.

Strawberry Feast.

We are requested to state that the ladies of the Presbyterian Church in this city will give a Strawberry Feast, in the upper room of the Court house, on Wednesday and Thursday evenings next.—Those who attend will also find many articles of handiwork for sale. As the funds raised on the occasions will be applied exclusively to benevolent purposes, we hope every citizen of our place, who can make it convenient, will favor the ladies with their presence.

Public Speaking.

RANKIN R. REVILL, the Democratic candidate for Clerk of the Court of Appeals, will address the people at the following times and places:

Brookville, Bracken co., Saturday, June 12.
Cardale, Nicholas co., Monday, June 14.
Winchester, Clarke co., Tuesday, June 15.
Mt. Sterling, Montgomery co., Wed., June 16.
Owingsville, Bath co., Thursday, June 17.
Morehead, Rowan co., Friday, June 18.
Flemingsburg, Fleming co., Saturday, June 19.
Clarksville, Lewis co., Monday, June 21.
Greenup, Greenup co., Tuesday, June 22.
Ashland, Greenup co., Wednesday, June 23.
Cattlettsburg, Greenup co., Wednesday, June 23, at night.
Star Furnace, Greenup co., Thursday, June 24.
Grayson, Carter co., Friday, June 25.
John Rife's, Carter co., Saturday, June 26.
Louisa, Lawrence co., Monday, June 28.
Geo. Roberts', Lawrence co., Tuesday, June 29.
Walton, Greenup co., Wednesday, June 30.
West Liberty, Morgan co., Thursday, July 1.
Adamsville, Morgan co., Friday, July 2.
Paintsville, Johnson co., Saturday, July 3.
Prentissburg, Floyd co., Monday, July 5.
Pikeville, Pike co., Tuesday, July 6.
Thos. May's, Pike co., Wednesday, July 7.
Whitesburg, Letcher co., Thursday, July 8.
Hazard, Perry co., Friday, July 9.
Hazard, Perry co., Saturday, July 10.
Mt. Pleasant, Harlan co., Tuesday, July 13.
C. J. Callaway's, Harlan co., Wednesday, July 14.
Barboursville, Knox co., Thursday, July 15.
Williamsburg, Whitley co., Friday, July 16.
Flat Rock, Pulaski co., Saturday, July 17.
Somerset, Pulaski co., Monday, July 19.
Barnett's store, Pulaski co., Tuesday, July 20.
Mt. Vernon, Rockcastle co., Wednesday, July 21.
London, Laurel co., Thursday, July 22.
Manchester, Clay co., Friday, July 23.
Crockettsville, Clay co., Saturday, July 24.
Jackson, Breathitt co., Monday, July 26.
Booneville, Owsley co., Tuesday, July 27.
Stanton, Powell co., Wednesday, July 28.
Irvine, Estill co., Thursday, July 29.
Richmond, Madison co., Friday, July 30.
Nicholasville, Jessamine co., Saturday, July 31.

Hon. Geo. R. McKee, the American candidate, is invited to meet Mr. Revill at the above appointments.

THE PENITENTIARY.—Mr. WARD has handed us another letter for publication. The lateness of time at which it was given us and its length has prevented its publication in this issue. We will pay it before our readers on Thursday, when we shall resume our discussion of the K. N. management of the Penitentiary and present further views upon the four thousand dollar claim against the State. In the mean time, it is admitted on all hands, that Mr. WARD owes the State money, due last March, and is in arrears to the Commonwealth, the best thing he can do for himself and his party, as we suggested in our last, is to "walk up to the Captain's office and settle."

CONGRESSIONAL.

WASHINGTON, May 29.

SENATE.

Mr. Mason, from the Committee on Foreign Relations, to whom was referred the resolution inquiring whether additional legislation is necessary to place power in the hands of the Executive to obtain redress for recent British outrages, submitted a report, the substance of which is, that the official statements show a succession of acts of aggression by the British cruisers in the Gulf of Mexico and around and extraordinary "as have awakened the indignation of the country. Vessels under the flag pursuing lawful commerce, have been fired into, stopped and interrogated as to their cargo, destination, crew, etc. No less than fifteen American ships in the harbor of Sagua La Grande, and six on the high seas, have been officially reported, each arriving bringing additional facts of the aggressions, and the question as to the propriety of a change of the State Constitution, declaring all lotteries illegal, could destroy a vested right, is one of which the Courts alone can decide. It certainly seems to us, that our worthy Mayor is adopting the "largest liberty" idea, in extending his investigation of Statutory and Constitutional provisions into other bailiwicks than his own. We are prepared to co-operate heartily with him in all his reforms which he attempts, if he stops short of the spigot; but we honestly think he has done all he can do here in surprising the vice which is its life in our midst, without going to Georgia to reform its abuses.

There is a deal of humbug in this world hidden under the mask of reform, and we are sometimes inclined to think that even lottery schemes are no worse than stock broking, or any one of the thousand speculations into which men enter for the chances of making money.

We learn that this "Sparta Academy Lottery" is still drawing notwithstanding the indictment, and that its legality is to be tested before the courts. Instead of breaking up the lottery concern, we think the measures taken to effect this object will only serve to increase the sale of tickets, and we do not doubt if \$50,000 invested in the advertising, would have produced so much to the interest of the managers as the publicity which has been given to the fact of their indictment. People who spend their money in lottery tickets, don't much care whether the game is legalized or outlawed. All gambling is illegal, and yet gambling is a passion that will seek gratification in defiance of laws. The attention of the whole "sporting" world is now directed to Swan & Co.'s lotteries through the free advertising which they have obtained from the movement of Mayor Tiemann to suppress them.

From what we have read, there certainly appears to be two sides to the matter, which will give rise to nice questions of law, notwithstanding the summary action of the Georgia Legislature. It is a pity that we have not the full facts of the case, and we are sorry that we cannot insert in justice to him, that he may have the benefit of his own version of this matter.

A CARD.—My attention has been called to a correspondence between Mayor Tiemann, Howell Cobb, and the authorities of Georgia, upon the subject of the Sparta Academy Lottery. My name is mentioned as one of the owners of the Lottery. I am one of the owners, have paid for the franchise, and the Lottery has been created and sanctioned by the Legislature of Georgia, and is legal. All my transactions with reference to the said lottery are, consequently legitimate, and the money has been promptly paid to the trustees of the Sparta Academy, who are well-known, honorable men. The Mayor of this city, in his mistaken zeal to reform the morals of the people of Georgia, and in finding that they do not know what is law, are inflicting and in force in their state, dispatched an agent for that purpose a convicted thief, who had been on the treadmill in England, and as his associate in the embassy, a man who refused to answer the question as to whether he had been accused of crime in Boston; and by giving them the sanction of his name, the Mayor has introduced into the County of Franklin, the society of gentlemen.—One of these men receives \$200 per month from an opposite lottery concern to protect their interest in New York and to destroy rival concerns. This fact is known to Mayor Tiemann. This attempt is made to injure me politically, as well as to advance the interest of a member of Congress from my district. The facts of this case, and a full exposure of the motives and the reasons which induced Mr. Cobb to mix himself up with the matter, will hereafter be explained, and the public satisfied that the Sparta Academy Lottery is neither illegal nor the managers irresponsible; but that the object of the attack is purely a political one; else why not take measures against the lotteries of Delaware and Maryland?

BENJAMIN WOOD.

NEW-YORK, May 21, 1858.

We would also refer our readers to the following card from Messrs. Swan & Co.—

A CARD FROM SAMUEL SWAN & CO.—TO THE PUBLIC.—The Executive course pursued by the rival managers of different Lotteries to injure us because our liberal schemes, and prompt manner of doing business has materially affected them, compels us to call special attention to the facts, which all who deal with us know already; that is, that our Lotteries are legal; the managers and trustees honest and honorable men; that we have sold more prizes in the last twelve months than all other Lotteries in the Union; and that they have been promptly cashed in all cases on presentation.

The effort to injure us is aimed not only at our business by our rivals, but is also intended to act politically on one of our parties; and we assure our friends and the public that with our CONCERN ALL IS RIGHT, and this, the legal investigation which we shall urge to a hearing, will fully demonstrate.

1st. When you are obliged to remove small objects to a distance from 100 eyes to see them distinctly.

2d. When it is found requisite to obtain more light, for instance to place a candle between the object and the eye.

3d. When, in reading, the letters appear confused or even to run into one another or appear double.

4th. If attentively viewing a near object, it appears confused and seems to have a mist before it.

5th. If a little exercise fatigues the eyes, and you are obliged to close them or look at other objects.

6th. If black spots appear before the eyes, or a red rim encircles the sight.

7th. When you are obliged to wear spectacles.

8th. If no charges made for examination.

May 29th J. ISAACS.

BRAZILIAN PEBBLES.

which he has set in gold, silver, and steel frames, to meet the wants of all classes. These pebbles are ground upon the most unerring principles, and are constructed so as to effect the greatest relief, preserving the functions of the eye, and restoring true optical superlatives with mathematical accuracy. The Prof. selects glasses for patients suited to the exact focus of the eye, which he warrants in every optical point.

Examinations will be made either at the residence of the patient or at the parlor at the Hotel—Those who are afflicted with diseased Eyes will call at once, as my stay in this place must necessarily be short, owing to engagements elsewhere.

When persons may know when their sight may be assisted by the use of spectacles, I have appended the following rules:

1st. When you are obliged to remove small objects to a distance from 100 eyes to see them distinctly.

2d. When it is found requisite to obtain more light, for instance to place a candle between the object and the eye.

3d. When, in reading, the letters appear confused or even to run into one another or appear double.

4th. If attentively viewing a near object, it appears confused and seems to have a mist before it.

5th. If a little exercise fatigues the eyes, and you are obliged to close them or look at other objects.

6th. If black spots appear before the eyes, or a red rim encircles the sight.

7th. When you are obliged to wear spectacles.

8th. If no charges made for examination.

May 29th J. ISAACS.

PROCLAMATION by the Governor.

COMMONWEALTH OF KENTUCKY,
Executive Department.

WHEREAS, it has been made known to me, that HUGHES PORTER did on the 24th day of December, 1857, become accessory before the fact of the murder of his wife by poison, in the county of Henry, and has since fled from justice;

Now, therefore, I, JOHN Q. A. KING, acting Governor of the Commonwealth of Kentucky, do hereby order the reward of Five Hundred Dollars for the apprehension of said Porter, and his delivery to the jailer of Henry county, within one year from the date hereof.

IN TESTIMONY WHEREOF, I have hereunto set my hand, and caused the seal of the Commonwealth to be affixed. Done at Frankfort, this 22nd day of May, A. D. 1858, and in the sixth year of the Commonwealth.

JOHN Q. A. KING.

By the Governor,
MASON BROWN, Secretary of State.

Said Porter is about 24 years old; about 5 feet 11 inches in height; weighs 180 to 200 pounds; hair of a yellow color; light or white-colored eyes; wears a stoop-shouldered; small head; well-tapered whiskers and mustache; wound on his breast made by a knife; blue eyes. It is believed there are marks on his arms made by introducing coloring matter.

May 27, 1858.

IRISH WHISKY.—Old Irish Whisky, the very best in the State at GEO. A. ROBERTSON'S.

REMAINING in the Post Office at Frankfort on the 31st of May, 1858.

Berry, Sally Ann Miss
Brown, Anna Miss
Bramble, Peter
Brown, R. J.
Benton, Jas. T.
Brown, Wm.
Crown, John D.
Clark, W. T.
Cruicker, Louisa S. M.
Cady, Chas. U. S. A.
Clark, David F.
Chamberlain, John
Childs, Ruben
Chambers, Alexander
Clark, Wm. H.
Dinmore, Jas. Rev.
Devonport, Wm.
Frizelle, B. B. 2
Fish, W. A.
Gray, Jas. Lieut. war 1812 of
Hughes, Wm.
Hodges, E. S. Mrs.
Harris, Erasmus C.
Hampton, Virginia
Hale, John
Heady, W. J. Capt.
Harris, H. J.
Hardin, W. H. H.
Howe, W. T.
Hampton, Mary Mrs.
Jones, J. H.
Kelly, Isaac L.

Keener, Henry
Luster, Henry J.
Lunsford, Jas.
Moore, Wm. M.
Morphy, Richard
Murphy, Cornelius
Merriweather, Wm.
Malkin, John L.
McCrady, Mrs. C.
McClaff, Jas. A.
Marshall, Charles
Martin, F. Mrs.
Marks, John
Newton, Betty
Norton, Hiram
Read, Herman
Reath, Thos. A.
Roberts, John Dr. Heirs
Rake, John D.
Southerner, Hannah
Shingleton, John
Scott, John F.
Rehn, Daniel
Woods, J. D.
Williams, Mary
Whittingham, Peter
West, Mrs.
Wilson, Arch C. 2
Waters, J. B.

Persons calling for the above letters, will please say "advertised."

B. F. JOHNSON, P. M.

From the New York Atlas.

The Georgia Lotteries, of Swan & Co. Considerable excitement has been created during the past two days by the announcement that Mayor Tiemann had succeeded in obtaining the indictment of Benjamin Wood, of our city, by a special jury, at Augusta, Georgia, one of the owners of the "Sparta Academy Lottery."

With questions of the legality or otherwise of the "Sparta Academy Lottery" as it exists in Georgia, we don't propose to meddle; but, when one of our rather prominent citizens is indicted for a criminal offence, we, as public journalists, deem it our duty to lay such facts or allegations as bear upon his case before our readers.

From statements made to us, and of the correctness of which we are satisfied, it appears that the State of Georgia granted to the "Sparta Academy" in the year 1826, the right to raise five thousand dollars by lottery for educational purposes. This grant lay for many years inert and useless for the purpose intended, in consequence of the inability of its incorporators to carry out the object contemplated.

Some time ago, Mr. Wood, in connection with parties in Georgia, purchased from the existing trustees the privilege of drawing this lottery, and contracted to pay the "Sparta Academy" the amount designated by the act, in certain equal annual instalments. These instalments have been punctually paid; and it is further stated that all of the prizes which were drawn by any purchasers of tickets, from time to time, have been promptly met, and the parties concerned as owners and managers are entirely responsible. Thus much of our information.

A perusal of the act of incorporation certainly shows that the "trustees," or "their successors in office," had a right to raise the sum of five thousand dollars by lottery, and the question as to the propriety of a change of the State Constitution, declaring all lotteries illegal, could destroy a vested right, is one of which the Courts alone can decide. It certainly seems to us, that our worthy Mayor is adopting the "largest liberty" idea, in extending his investigation of Statutory and Constitutional provisions into other bailiwicks than his own. We are prepared to co-operate heartily with him in all his reforms which he attempts, if he stops short of the spigot; but we honestly think he has done all he can do here in surprising the vice which is its life in our midst, without going to Georgia to reform its abuses.

There is a deal of humbug in this world hidden under the mask of reform, and we are sometimes inclined to think that even lottery schemes are no worse than stock broking, or any one of the thousand speculations into which men enter for the chances of making money.

We learn that this "Sparta Academy Lottery" is still drawing notwithstanding the indictment, and that its legality is to be tested before the courts. Instead of breaking up the lottery concern, we think the measures taken to effect this object will only serve to increase the sale of tickets, and we do not doubt if \$50,000 invested in the advertising, would have produced so much to the interest of the managers as the publicity which has been given to the fact of their indictment. People who spend their money in lottery tickets, don't much care whether the game is legalized or outlawed. All gambling is illegal, and yet gambling is a passion that will seek gratification in defiance of laws. The attention of the whole "sporting" world is now directed to Swan & Co.'s lotteries through the free advertising which they have obtained from the movement of Mayor Tiemann to suppress them.

From what we have read, there certainly appears to be two sides to the matter, which will give rise to nice questions of law, notwithstanding the summary action of the Georgia Legislature. It is a pity that we have not the full facts of the case, and we are sorry that we cannot insert in justice to him, that he may have the benefit of his own version of this matter.

A CARD.—My attention has been called to a correspondence between Mayor Tiemann, Howell Cobb, and the authorities of Georgia, upon the subject of the Sparta Academy Lottery. My name is mentioned as one of the owners of the Lottery. I am one of the owners, have paid for the franchise, and the Lottery has been created and sanctioned by the Legislature of Georgia, and is legal. All my transactions with reference to the said lottery are, consequently legitimate, and the money has been promptly paid to the trustees of the Sparta Academy, who are well-known, honorable men. The Mayor of this city, in his mistaken zeal to reform the morals of the people of Georgia, and in finding that they do not know what is law, are inflicting and in force in their state, dispatched an agent for that purpose a convicted thief, who had been on the treadmill in England, and as his associate in the embassy, a man who refused to answer the question as to whether he had been accused of crime in Boston; and by giving them the sanction of his name, the Mayor has introduced into the County of Franklin, the society of gentlemen.—One of these men receives \$200 per month from an opposite lottery concern to protect their interest in New York and to destroy rival concerns. This fact is known to Mayor Tiemann. This attempt is made to injure me politically, as well as to advance the interest of a member of Congress from my district. The facts of this case, and a full exposure of the motives and the reasons which induced Mr. Cobb to mix himself up with the matter, will hereafter be explained, and the public satisfied that the Sparta Academy Lottery is neither illegal nor the managers irresponsible; but that the object of the attack is purely a political one; else why not take measures against the lotteries of Delaware and Maryland?

BENJAMIN WOOD.

NEW-YORK, May 21, 1858.

We would also refer our readers to the following card from Messrs. Swan & Co.—

A CARD FROM SAMUEL SWAN & CO.—TO THE PUBLIC.—The Executive course pursued by the rival managers of different Lotteries to injure us because our liberal schemes, and prompt manner of doing business has materially affected them, compels us to call special attention to the facts, which all who deal with us know already; that is, that our Lotteries are legal; the managers and trustees honest and honorable men; that we have sold more prizes in the last twelve months than all other Lotteries in the Union; and that they have been promptly cashed in all cases on presentation.

The effort to injure us is aimed not only at our business by our rivals, but is also intended to act politically on one of our parties; and we assure our friends and the public that with our CONCERN ALL IS RIGHT, and this, the legal investigation which we shall urge to a hearing, will fully demonstrate.

1st. When you are obliged to remove small objects to a distance from 100 eyes to see them distinctly.

2d. When it is found requisite to obtain more light, for instance to place a candle between the object and the eye.

3d. When, in reading, the letters appear confused or even to run into one another or appear double.

4th. If attentively viewing a near object, it appears confused and seems to have a mist before it.

5th. If a little exercise fatigues the eyes, and you are obliged to close them or look at other objects.

6th. If black spots appear before the eyes, or a red rim encircles the sight.

7th. When you are obliged to wear spectacles.

8th. If no charges made for examination.

May 29th J. ISAACS.

PROCLAMATION by the Governor.

COMMONWEALTH OF KENTUCKY,
Executive Department.

WHEREAS, it has been made known to me, that HUGHES PORTER did on the 24th day of December, 1857, become accessory before the fact of the murder of his wife by poison, in the county of Henry, and has since fled from justice;

Now, therefore, I, JOHN Q. A. KING, acting Governor of the Commonwealth of Kentucky, do hereby order the reward of Five Hundred Dollars for the apprehension of said Porter, and his delivery to the jailer of Henry county, within one year from the date hereof.

IN TESTIMONY WHEREOF, I have hereunto set my hand, and caused the seal of the Commonwealth to be affixed. Done at Frankfort, this 22nd day of May, A. D. 1858, and in the sixth year of the Commonwealth.

JOHN Q. A. KING.

By the Governor,
MASON BROWN, Secretary of State.

Said Porter is about 24 years old; about 5 feet 11 inches in height; weighs 180 to 200 pounds; hair of a yellow color; light or white-colored eyes; wears a stoop-shouldered; small head; well-tapered whiskers and mustache; wound on his breast made by a knife; blue eyes. It is believed there are marks on his arms made by introducing coloring matter.

May 27, 1858.

IRISH WHISKY.—Old Irish Whisky, the very best in the State at GEO. A. ROBERTSON'S.

REMAINING in the Post Office at Frankfort on the 31st of May, 1858.

Berry, Sally Ann Miss
Brown, Anna Miss
Bramble, Peter
Brown, R. J.
Benton, Jas. T.
Brown, Wm.
Crown, John D.
Clark, W. T.
Cruicker, Louisa S. M.
Cady, Chas. U. S. A.
Clark, David F.
Chamberlain, John
Childs, Ruben
Chambers, Alexander
Clark, Wm. H.
Dinmore, Jas. Rev.
Devonport, Wm.
Frizelle, B. B. 2
Fish, W. A.
Gray, Jas. Lieut. war 1812 of
Hughes, Wm.
Hodges, E. S. Mrs.
Harris, Erasmus C.
Hampton, Virginia
Hale, John
Heady, W. J. Capt.
Harris, H. J.
Hardin, W. H. H.
Howe, W. T.
Hampton, Mary Mrs.
Jones, J. H.
Kelly, Isaac L.

Keener, Henry
Luster, Henry J.
Lunsford, Jas.
Moore, Wm. M.
Morphy, Richard
Murphy, Cornelius
Merriweather, Wm.
Malkin, John L.
McCrady, Mrs. C.
McClaff, Jas. A.
Marshall, Charles
Martin, F. Mrs.
Marks, John
Newton, Betty
Norton, Hiram
Read, Herman
Reath, Thos. A.
Roberts, John Dr. Heirs
Rake, John D.
Southerner, Hannah
Shingleton, John
Scott, John F.
Rehn, Daniel
Woods, J. D.
Williams, Mary
Whittingham, Peter
West, Mrs.
Wilson, Arch C. 2
Waters, J. B.

Persons calling for the above letters, will please say "advertised."

B. F. JOHNSON, P. M.

RAILROAD ACCIDENTS.—Of late, railroad accidents have become so frequent, that no man should start on a journey without calculating the chances of making provision for broken limbs dislocated joints, or at the least, bruises and contusions. A good preparation would be, as dictated by common prudence—a small package of liniment of a few strips of singeing plaster, and a bottle of BRAGG'S ANAESTHETIC LINIMENT. For the want of the latter article on such an occasion, many a man has gone forth with an aching limb or joint, or with his face disfigured by unsightly bruises. This valuable Liniment, we believe, for sale by Druggists generally.

READ THIS!

A HOLLANDER'S TESTIMONY.

JACOB RINKES, living in the Holland settlement of Sheboygan, Wisconsin, says: "After suffering for some time the misery attending an ulcer produced of mind and body, I have been restored, by using BOERHAVE'S HOLLAND BITTERS, to perfect health."

The fact of this remedy being in such high repute among the Hollanders in Wisconsin, Michigan, New York, in fact in every Holland settlement in the United States, argues much in its favor.

Try it—for Chronic or Nervous Debility, or any Nervous, Rheumatic, or Neuralgic affection.

AUGUST ELECTION, 1858.

CLERK COUNTY COURT, ANDERSON CO.

We are authorized to announce A. P. RANDALL as a candidate for Clerk of the County Court of Anderson county.

SPECIAL NOTICES.

NEW GOODS

R. W. BLACKBURN,

HAS JUST RECEIVED A HANDSOME STOCK OF

STAPLE AND FANCY DRY GOODS,

which are offered to the public on the very best terms. All orders to the East, or adjoining cities, PUNCTUALLY ATTENDED TO. Those desiring to pay CASH for goods, cannot do better than to call on BLACKBURN. He will be receiving New Styles of Goods during the season.

WANTED

Immediately, 10,000 men to engage in the sale of the most popular selling Books in America. Invalids, Mechanics, farmers and teachers. Wishing to travel will find this to be a very profitable and pleasant business, enabling them to see the country, and make money at the same time. Agents now in the business are clearing from \$500 to \$1,000 per year. For full particulars and a list of Books, address, H. M. RAILSON, Queen City Publishing House, 141 Main street Cincinnati, Ohio; or if living east, D. Railson, Philadelphia, Pa.

THE "BLIXIR."

Prepared by Dr. JAS. WILLIAMS, for the cure of DYSPEPSY, and nothing but DYSPEPSY, (as advertised in another column), has, by its own merits, obtained for itself so high a reputation in Philadelphia, that Physicians acquainted with its properties, are using it themselves and prescribing it to their patients, convinced, by observation, of its great efficacy in restoring the disordered digestive organs to healthy function. Numerous cases of Dyspepsy of the most aggravated character which were abandoned as incurable by some of the Medical Faculty, have, by the use of this Blixir, been restored to perfect health, as attested certificates testify.

NEW ADVERTISEMENTS.

TO ROAD CONTRACTORS.

SEALED proposals to construct about ten miles of Turnpike Road, from Centinella to Leesburg, in Harrison county, Ky., in sections of one mile each, will be received until, and after, if necessary, SATURDAY, the 30th day of JUNE inst., at the office of the County Clerk, in Centinella, at which place plans and specifications may be seen.

Jan 11, 1858-31

Pres. C. & L. Road Co.

Occulist and Optical

EXAMINATIONS.

PROF. J. ISAACS,

Late of Philadelphia, and formerly of

Leyden, Holland,

HAS arrived at Frankfort, and will remain one week.

DOCKET
OF THE
COURT OF APPEALS.
SUMMER TERM, 1858.

First Day—7th June.

Glasscock v Comth, Fleming.
Comth v Heddison, Fleming.
Same v Van Tuyl, Carroll.
Same v Scherer, Carroll.
Same v Turner, Carroll.
Same v Blakemore, Carroll.
Same v Middleton, et al, Harlan.
Same v Calvert, Caldwell.
Calvert v Comth, Caldwell.
Williams v Same, Caldwell.
Greenwald v Same, Trigg.
Spradlin v Same, Lou. C. Court.
Spain v Same, Jefferson.
Keith v Same, Pendleton.
Jane (a slave) v Same, Henry.
Boudurant v Everett, Montgomery.
Rogers v Mitchell's ex'ors, Montgomery.
Shumate v Ball, Madison.
Mulchay v Ballard et al, Madison.
Williams v English, Madison.
Webster et al v Webster et al, Madison.
Green v Kurtz, Madison.
Sanders' ex'ors v Sanders, Franklin.
Leary v Flourney, Franklin.
Brown v Macklin, Franklin.
Burbridge's ad'mr v Forsee, Franklin.
Slaughter v Morgan, Franklin.
Johnson's ex'ors v Chambers' ad'mr, Franklin.
Smith's ad'mr v Blanton, Franklin.
Eve et al v Aertson et al, Franklin.
Taylor v Taylor, Oldham.

Third Day—9th June.

Kelly v Cooper et al, Henry.
Mitchell et al v Pendleton et al, Henry.
Jones et al, Meek et al, Henry.
Leach v Glass & Lindsey, Scott.
Thomason et al v Thomason's ex'ors et al, Scott.
Thompson v Thompson Scott.
Gano v Ottwell, Scott.
Wilson v Wilson, Scott.

Fourth Day—10th June.

Bufo et al v Campbell, Fayette.
Holland v Twiss, Fayette.
Berryman's ad'mr v Christian, Fayette.
Hunter's ex'ors v Hunter's heirs, Jessamine.
Campbell, guardian for Rice v Hoover et al, Jessamine.
Seacore et al v Seacore et al, Woodford.
Lewis v Davis, ad'mr for Lee, Woodford.
Perry & Pepper v Gray et al, Woodford.

Fifth Day—11th June.

Pinckard et al v Buford, Woodford.
Taylor v Carter et al, v Shelby.
Williams v Martin et al, Woodford.
King et al v Fleming et al, Owen.
Foster v Grover, Owen.
Suter et al v Suter, Owen.
Miller, by Hanks v Garrison et al, Anderson.
Miller v Collins, Anderson.

Sixth Day—12th June.

Wash v Marlow, Anderson.
Hagerman & McHenry v Proctor & Hanks, Anderson.
Burge et al v Easley & Collins, Anderson.
Wilson v Sloan et al, Shelby.
Shelby Board of Ind. Imp. v Scarce, Shelby.
Shelbyville trustees v Shelby and Eminence T. Co., Shelby.
Harris & Ratliff v Shelbyville Ind. Co., Shelby.
Helm's ex'ors et al v Helm's heirs et al, Shelby.

Seventh Day—14th June.

Sanders, Busey et al v Bank of Ky., et al, Shelby.
Stone & Warner v Carey and wife et al, Shelby.
Stratton v Kiser et al, Shelby.
Nash v Buckner, Shelby.
Carnier v Price, Shelby.
Hutchison v Metcalf & Longworth, Fayette.
Garrard et al v Coleman et al, Pendleton.
Hutchison v Wall, Pendleton.

Eighth Day—15th June.

Williams et al v Kendall, Pendleton.
Rawlings & Whitson v Hand & Ransom, Fayette.
Jewett and wife v Eckler's ex'ors, Harrison.
Teary v Weaver, Harrison.
Taylor v Nam, Bourbon.
Same et al v Haggard et al, Bourbon.
Lamm v Hume et al, Bourbon.
Desha v Graves et al, Bourbon.

Ninth Day—16th June.

White and wife v Desha et al, Bourbon.
Kendley v Burpee et al, et al, Boyle.
Quisenberry v Lex & Big Sandy Railroad, Clarke.
Blackwell & McCann v Foster, Clarke.
Tate et al v Tate's ex'or, Clarke.
McKee & Alcorn v Letcher & Yanits, Madison.
Francis v Francis, Madison.
Diggs v Hill and wife, Madison.

Tenth Day—17th June.

Same v Turner et al, Madison.
Davis v Shout & Wilson, Madison.
Watts v Watts et al, Madison.
Roberts' heirs v Hise et al, Madison.
Benton v Schell, Estill.
Ferguson v Fox's ad'mrs, Madison.
Townsend v Smith et al, Estill.
Anderson & Hedger v Dickinson, Garrard.

Eleventh Day—18th June.

Anderson's ad'mr and heirs v Sartin, Garrard.
Beaumont v Miller et al, Garrard.
Raney v Central Bank, Garrard.
Holeman et al v Spillman et al, Garrard.
Campbell et al v T. v Ramsey, Garrard.
Steger & Perkins v Same, Garrard.
Mason v Yantis & Owsley, Garrard.
Hudson et al v Burdett, Garrard.

Twelfth Day—19th June.

William et al (of color) v Derins and wife, Garrard.
Graham v Tilford & Burley, Boyle.
Harlan's ex'or et al v Harlan, Boyle.
Bottom et al v Greer, Boyle.
Lex & Dan. R. R. v Burbee, Boyle.
Steinberger v Smith, Mercer.
Moseby v Moseby et al, Mercer.
Coffey v Wilkinson et al, Casey.

Thirteenth Day—21st June.

King v Montgomery, Lytle et al, Lincoln.
Same v Gentry et al, Lincoln.
Brown v Patton et al, Lincoln.
Word et al v Pennington, Lincoln.
Givens et al v Givens, Lincoln.
Dawson v Gillis et al, Rockcastle.
Wilson v Willis' ad'mr et al, Rockcastle.
Newcom v Owens et al, Rockcastle.

Fourteenth Day—22nd June.

Colyer et al v Tyre and wife, Rockcastle.
Same v Same, Rockcastle.
Barnes and wife v Hunt et al, Rockcastle.
Hardin v Vance et al, Laurel.
Forbes et al v Wilgus & Bruce, Laurel.
Tutton's heirs v Word, Knox.
Mason et al v Woodson, Knox.
Green v Bingham et al, Knox.

Fifteenth Day—23rd June.

Baugh et al v Durham, Laurel.
Moore's ad'mr v Bennett et al, Wayne.
Vickery et al v Lanier & Co., Wayne.
Herd v Daniel, Clay.
Gilbert's ad'mr v Heard, Clay.
Clarke v Spiney, Clay.
Barnes et al v Payne et al, Clinton.
Beck v Stockton & Roberts, Clinton.

Sixteenth Day—24th June.

Patterson v Lair, Russell.
Smith v Long et al, Russell.
Moore's ad'mr v Moore's heirs, Pulaski.
Hardy v Fitzpatrick, Pulaski.
Patterson & Cooper v Ford's heirs et al, Pulaski.
Nunnally, Denny et al v M. & E. Holloway, Pulaski.
Hughes v Durham et al, Pulaski.
Bate's ex'or v Todd, (of color), Pulaski.

Seventeenth Day—25th June.

Curd v Eastham, Whitley.
Gatfield v Paris, Whitley.
Eastham v Snyder's heirs, Whitley.
Boyd v Williams et al, Whitley.
Green's ex'ors v Bain and wife et al, Fleming.
Overly's ex'ors v Overly's heirs, Fleming.
Hornbuckle's ad'mr v Murray, Fleming.
McLane & Cooper v Stricklett, Fleming.

Eighteenth Day—26th June.

Mitchell v Payne & Weaver, Fleming.
Duke v Stockwell, Fleming.
Floyd & Kerker v Griffith et al, Greenup.
Payton et al v Ky. Iron & Coal Co., Greenup.
Ohio River Land & Marble Co. v Lowden, Lewis.
Brookover v Hurst, Mason.
Wilson & Hawkins v Thompson & Ball, Mason.

Nineteenth Day—28th June.

Willett v Maysville R. R. Co., Mason.
Hathaway et al v Willis et al, Bracken.
Gray v McCorkle et al, Christian.
Bethel Trustees v Torian et al, Christian.
Saddler v Thompson, Christian.
Gray v Sherrill, Bradshaw et al, Christian.
Same v Bradshaw, Christian.
Gray v Bradshaw, Christian.
Same v Bradshaw, Christian.

Twentieth Day—29th June.

Hunter's heirs v Leavell & Walker, Christian.
Bradshaw v Clardy, Christian.
Sharpe's heirs v Holland, Christian.
Finch and wife v Beall et al, Logan.
Ludwig (of color) v Combs, Logan.
Fanny (of color) v Wheeler and wife, Warren.
Taylor v Richmond et al, Todd.
Watson v Coleman, Todd.

Twenty-first Day—30th June.

Taylor v Campbell, Todd.
Francis v Greenfield et al, Todd.
Rodes et al v Morris et al, Barren.
Coley v Amos et al, Barren.
Forbes v Bradshaw and wife, Edmundson.
Hall & Co. v Meredith, Edmundson.
Holder v Whitney et al, Allen.
Snoddy et al v Foster, et al, Allen.

Twenty-second Day—1st July.

Locke & Key v Same, Allen.
Crump et al v Same, Allen.
Vauvinkle v Same, Allen.
Case, Davidge et al v Colston, Jefferson.
Hamilton v Miller, Jefferson.
Metcalf v Hare, Jefferson.
Nourse & Doon v Johnson, Jefferson.
Powers v Luckey et al, Jefferson.

Twenty-third Day—2d July.

Wall's ex'ors v Tell, Jefferson.
Lane v Kaser, Fleming.
Same v Daniel, Jefferson.
Same v Barker, Jefferson.
Same v Shields, Jefferson c. c.
Same v McCullom, Jefferson c. c.
Same v Campbell, Jefferson c. c.
Same v Sweeney, Jefferson c. c.
Same v Dennis, Jefferson c. c.

Twenty-fourth Day—3d July.

Christmas et al v Russell, Jefferson c. c.
Buck v Rogers' ad'mr, Jefferson c. c.
Meredith v Garrison, Jefferson c. c.
Reed's ad'mr v Reed's heirs, Lou. Ch'y.
Trotman v Young et al, Lou. Ch'y.
Bergman v Graves' ex'ors, Lou. Ch'y.
Erkman v Kendrick, Lou. Ch'y.
Atkinson v Barren & McNamee, Lou. Ch'y.

Twenty-fifth Day—4th July.

Mad. & Ind. R. R. Co. v Levi & Briscoe, Lou. Ch'y.
Muir et al v Buchanan et al, Lou. Ch'y.
Weightman & McClelland v Leach & Co. et al, Lou. Ch'y.
City of Robt. (2 cases), Lou. Ch'y.
Austin and wife v Howell, Lou. Ch'y.
Phillips v Thornberry, Lou. Ch'y.

Twenty-sixth Day—5th July.

Williams v Williams, Lou. Ch'y.
Walters & Fox v Gill, Anderson et al, Lou. Ch'y.
Sut v Atmore and wife, Lou. Ch'y.
Franklin's Co. v Bentley et al, Lou. Ch'y.
Harja v Stewart, Lou. Ch'y.
Nolly v Egle, Lou. Ch'y.
McGowan et al v W. W. W. guardian, Lou. Ch'y.
Thurman et al v Huling, Lou. Ch'y.

Twenty-seventh Day—7th July.

Davis v Noble et al, Lou. Ch'y.
Oberneder v Altkohler et al, Lou. Ch'y.
Lou. city v Zanon, Lou. Ch'y.
Same v Kimball & McAttee, Lou. Ch'y.
Same v Byrd, Lou. Ch'y.
Same v Hutchings & Co. Lou. Ch'y.
Same v Brunner & Hall, Lou. Ch'y.
Same v Brewer et al, Lou. Ch'y.

Twenty-eighth Day—8th July.

Young v Lancaster, Lou. Ch'y.
Robb v Davis & Jack, Lou. Ch'y.
Swindler v Same, Lou. Ch'y.
Camp v Union Telegraph Co. Lou. Ch'y.
Henderson v Boone, Lou. Ch'y.
Speed & Beatty v Gray & Co. Lou. Ch'y.
Franklin Ins. Co. v Ohio Life & Trust Co. Lou. Ch'y.

Twenty-ninth Day—9th July.

Alexander v Bradley et al, Lou. Ch'y.
Lee's ad'mr v Crigler's ex'or, Bullitt.
Slaton v Orine, Bullitt.
Porter and wife v Hunt's ex'or, Bullitt.
Beam v Tichnor, Spencer.
Houser v Heddon's heirs, Spencer.
Stone v Forman, guardian for Crumpe, (2 cases), Spencer.

Thirtieth Day—10th July.

Foster v Thomas, Nelson.
Mason et al v Slater's ex'or, Nelson.
Carter v Farmer's heirs, Nelson.
Finnelly et al v Lewis, Nelson.
Young and wife v Lancaster Trustees et al, Nelson.
Stoddard v Brown et al Trustees Bardston, Nelson.
Willett and wife et al v Lenton et al, Nelson.
Wren v Hydes' ad'mr and heirs, Nelson.

Thirty-first Day—12th July.

Hilditch et al v Hatcher & Ingram et al, Madison.
Graham & Martin v Renfro, et al, Marion.
McAttee v Bowman, Marion.
Flanagan v Mock et al, Marion.
Maxwell, ex'or of Terrell v Maxwell, Marion.
Gill's ex'or v Vansickles, Marion.
Mayes v Johnson's ad'mr et al, Marion.

Thirty-second Day—13th July.

Jarboe v Spading's ex'ors et al, Marion.
Dougherty v Dougherty et al, Marion.
Dorsey's ad'mr v Geoghegan's ex'ors, Washington.
Wathen v Wickliffe, Laree.
Young v Withers, Hardin.
Cofor et al v Duvall, Hardin.

Thirty-third Day—14th July.

Sturgeon v Hill et al, Hardin.
Shean et al v Young et al, Hardin.
Holderman et al v Holderman et al, Hardin.
Foushee v Farleigh, Meade.
Lowmyer v Talbot, Meade.
Hamilton v Greer and wife, Meade.

Thirty-fourth Day—15th July.

Burbage v Evans' ex'or, Breckinridge.
Burbage v Abell, Breckinridge.
Barnett et al v Bennett et al, Ohio.
Kincheloe v Kincheloe's ad'mrs, Ohio.
Woodward v Woodward, Ohio.
Fant et al v Taylor's ad'mr, Ohio.
Hathaway v Buttrif et al, Davies.
Baker v Spaulding and wife, Hancock.
Barnhill v Same, Davies.

Thirty-fifth Day—16th July.

Sturgeon v Hill et al, Hardin.
Shean et al v Young et al, Hardin.
Holderman et al v Holderman et al, Hardin.
Foushee v Farleigh, Meade.
Lowmyer v Talbot, Meade.
Hamilton v Greer and wife, Meade.

Thirty-sixth Day—17th July.

Burbage v Evans' ex'or, Breckinridge.
Burbage v Abell, Breckinridge.
Barnett et al v Bennett et al, Ohio.
Kincheloe v Kincheloe's ad'mrs, Ohio.
Woodward v Woodward, Ohio.
Fant et al v Taylor's ad'mr, Ohio.
Hathaway v Buttrif et al, Davies.
Baker v Spaulding and wife, Hancock.
Barnhill v Same, Davies.

Thirty-seventh Day—18th July.

Sturgeon v Hill et al, Hardin.
Shean et al v Young et al, Hardin.
Holderman et al v Holderman et al, Hardin.
Foushee v Farleigh, Meade.
Lowmyer v Talbot, Meade.
Hamilton v Greer and wife, Meade.

Thirty-eighth Day—19th July.

Burbage v Evans' ex'or, Breckinridge.
Burbage v Abell, Breckinridge.
Barnett et al v Bennett et al, Ohio.
Kincheloe v Kincheloe's ad'mrs, Ohio.
Woodward v Woodward, Ohio.
Fant et al v Taylor's ad'mr, Ohio.
Hathaway v Buttrif et al, Davies.
Baker v Spaulding and wife, Hancock.
Barnhill v Same, Davies.

Thirty-ninth Day—20th July.

Sturgeon v Hill et al, Hardin.
Shean et al v Young et al, Hardin.
Holderman et al v Holderman et al, Hardin.
Foushee v Farleigh, Meade.
Lowmyer v Talbot, Meade.
Hamilton v Greer and wife, Meade.

Fortieth Day—21st July.

Burbage v Evans' ex'or, Breckinridge.
Burbage v Abell, Breckinridge.
Barnett et al v Bennett et al, Ohio.
Kincheloe v Kincheloe's ad'mrs, Ohio.
Woodward v Woodward, Ohio.
Fant et al v Taylor's ad'mr, Ohio.
Hathaway v Buttrif et al, Davies.
Baker v Spaulding and wife, Hancock.
Barnhill v Same, Davies.

Forty-first Day—22d July.

Sturgeon v Hill et al, Hardin.
Shean et al v Young et al, Hardin.
Holderman et al v Holderman et al, Hardin.
Foushee v Farleigh, Meade.
Lowmyer v Talbot, Meade.
Hamilton v Greer and wife, Meade.

Forty-second Day—23d July.

Burbage v Evans' ex'or, Breckinridge.
Burbage v Abell, Breckinridge.
Barnett et al v Bennett et al, Ohio.
Kincheloe v Kincheloe's ad'mrs, Ohio.
Woodward v Woodward, Ohio.
Fant et al v Taylor's ad'mr, Ohio.
Hathaway v Buttrif et al, Davies.
Baker v Spaulding and wife, Hancock.
Barnhill v Same, Davies.

Forty-third Day—24th July.

Sturgeon v Hill et al, Hardin.
Shean et al v Young et al, Hardin.
Holderman et al v Holderman et al, Hardin.
Foushee v Farleigh, Meade.
Lowmyer v Talbot, Meade.
Hamilton v Greer and wife, Meade.

Forty-fourth Day—25th July.

Burbage v Evans' ex'or, Breckinridge.
Burbage v Abell, Breckinridge.
Barnett et al v Bennett et al, Ohio.
Kincheloe v Kincheloe's ad'mrs, Ohio.
Woodward v Woodward, Ohio.
Fant et al v Taylor's ad'mr, Ohio.
Hathaway v Buttrif et al, Davies.
Baker v Spaulding and wife, Hancock.
Barnhill v Same, Davies.

Forty-fifth Day—26th July.

Sturgeon v Hill et al, Hardin.
Shean et al v Young et al, Hardin.
Holderman et al v Holderman et al, Hardin.
Foushee v Farleigh, Meade.
Lowmyer v Talbot, Meade.
Hamilton v Greer and wife, Meade.

Forty-sixth Day—27th July.

Burbage v Evans' ex'or, Breckinridge.
Burbage v Abell, Breckinridge.
Barnett et al v Bennett et al, Ohio.
Kincheloe v Kincheloe's ad'mrs, Ohio.
Woodward v Woodward, Ohio.
Fant et al v Taylor's ad'mr, Ohio.
Hathaway v Buttrif et al, Davies.
Baker v Spaulding and wife, Hancock.
Barnhill v Same, Davies.

Forty-seventh Day—28th July.

Sturgeon v Hill et al, Hardin.
Shean et al v Young et al, Hardin.
Holderman et al v Holderman et al, Hardin.
Foushee v Farleigh, Meade.
Lowmyer v Talbot, Meade.
Hamilton v Greer and wife, Meade.

Forty-eighth Day—29th July.

Burbage v Evans' ex'or, Breckinridge.
Burbage v Abell, Breckinridge.
Barnett et al v Bennett et al, Ohio.
Kincheloe v Kincheloe's ad'mrs, Ohio.
Woodward v Woodward, Ohio.
Fant et al v Taylor's ad'mr, Ohio.
Hathaway v Buttrif et al, Davies.
Baker v Spaulding and wife, Hancock.
Barnhill v Same, Davies.

Forty-ninth Day—30th July.

Sturgeon v Hill et al, Hardin.
Shean et al v Young et al, Hardin.
Holderman et al v Holderman et al, Hardin.
Foushee v Farleigh, Meade.
Lowmyer v Talbot, Meade.
Hamilton v Greer and wife, Meade.

Fiftieth Day—31st July.

Burbage v Evans' ex'or, Breckinridge.
Burbage v Abell, Breckinridge.
Barnett et al v Bennett et al, Ohio.
Kincheloe v Kincheloe's ad'mrs, Ohio.
Woodward v Woodward, Ohio.
Fant et al v Taylor's ad'mr, Ohio.
Hathaway v Buttrif et al, Davies.
Baker v Spaulding and wife, Hancock.
Barnhill v Same, Davies.

Sixty-third Day—18th August.

Owley & Co. v McAfee, Lou. Ch'y.
Radcliffe & Bell et al, Lou. Ch'y.
Keagan v Loyd & Co. Louisville, Lou. Ch'y.
Sixty-fourth Day—19th August.
Murray et al v Caruthers et al, Breckinridge.
Adams v Anderson, Garrard.
Randall v Pearl, Garrard.

Sixty-fifth Day—20th August.

Hughes v Hollister, Greenup.
McAlister v Trimble, Greenup.
Rowland v Withrow, Marion.
Sixty-sixth Day—21st August.
Jenkins v Chandler, Marion.
Sherrill v Hetch, Meade.
Payne v Payne, Meade.

Sixty-seventh Day—23d August.

Bardston R. R. Co. v Hays, Hardin.
Clarke v Trail, Harrison.
Raymond v Smith, Harrison.
Sixty-eighth Day—24th August.
Rent v Coleman, Bracken.
Sanford v Fennell, Kenton.
Tevis v Young, Shelby.
Porter v Foley, Kenton.

Sixty-ninth Day—25th August.

Reed v Lewis, Franklin.
Lindsay v Reed, Franklin.
Seventieth Day—26th August.
Lee v Shultz, Mason.
Crawford v Carter, Carter.
Seventy-first Day—27th August.
Everett v Williams, Montgomery.
Wallace v Manlin, Hickman.

Seventy-second Day—28th August.

Denny v Wickliffe, Washington.
Crowder v Crowder, Marion.
Seventy-third Day—30th August.
Wickliffe v McCarty, Fayette.
Seventy-fourth Day—31st August.
Combs v Sinking Fund v N. Bank, Fayette.
Offutt v Offutt, Scott.

Seventy-fifth Day—1st September.

Goodman v Hilber, Bourbon.
Mosely v Mosely, Mercer.
Seventy-sixth Day—2d September.
Bradley v Mosely, Mercer.
Thompson v Vance, Mercer.
Seventy-seventh Day—3d September.
Anderson v Anderson, Green.
Coleman v Cartwright, Clarke.

Seventy-eighth Day—4th September.

Allen v Vanmeter, Clarke.
Seventy-ninth Day—5th September.
Hansborough v Dickinson, for Little, Grant.
Hedger v Redenour, Grant.
Wickster et al v Wickster, Grant.
Johnson v Davis' heirs, et al, Boone.
Piatt v Piatt's ex'or, Boone.
Hume v Hume's ad'mr, Boone.

Eightieth Day—6th September.

Niles v Collins & Gray, Boone.
Turrell's heirs v Weaver, Boone.
Lindsey & Dudley v Craig's ad'mr, Boone.
Michele v Shilke's Camp, Boone.
Kennedy v Covington City, Kenton.
Sanford v McArthur et al, Campbell.
Eighty-first Day—7th September.
Newport City v Air & Wall, Campbell.
Myers et al v Carnecy, Campbell.
Lee's ad'mr v Emeel, Colard et al, Campbell.
Same v Laughlin, Campbell.
Michele v Shilke's Camp, Boone.

Eighty-second Day—8th September.

Kennedy v Covington City, Kenton.
Sanford v McArthur et al, Campbell.
Eighty-third Day—9th September.
Hansborough v Dickinson, for Little, Grant.
Hedger v Redenour, Grant.
Wickster et al v Wickster, Grant.
Johnson v Davis' heirs, et al, Boone.
Piatt v Piatt's ex'or, Boone.
Hume v Hume's ad'mr, Boone.

Eighty-fourth Day—10th September.

Niles v Collins & Gray, Boone.
Turrell's heirs v Weaver, Boone.
Lindsey & Dudley v Craig's ad'mr, Boone.
Michele v Shilke's Camp, Boone.
Kennedy v Covington City, Kenton.
Sanford v McArthur et al, Campbell.
Eighty-fifth Day—11th September.
Newport City v Air & Wall, Campbell.
Myers et al v Carnecy, Campbell.
Lee's ad'mr v Emeel, Colard et al, Campbell.
Same v Laughlin, Campbell.
Michele v Shilke's Camp, Boone.

Eighty-sixth Day—12th September.

Kennedy v Covington City, Kenton.
Sanford v McArthur et al, Campbell.
Eighty-seventh Day—13th September.
Hansborough v Dickinson, for Little, Grant.
Hedger v Redenour, Grant.
Wickster et al v Wickster, Grant.
Johnson v Davis' heirs, et al, Boone.
Piatt v Piatt's ex'or, Boone.
Hume v Hume's ad'mr, Boone.

Eighty-eighth Day—14th September.

Niles v Collins & Gray, Boone.
Turrell's heirs v Weaver, Boone.
Lindsey & Dudley v Craig's ad'mr, Boone.
Michele v Shilke's Camp, Boone.
Kennedy v Covington City, Kenton.
Sanford v McArthur et al, Campbell.
Eighty-ninth Day—15th September.
Newport City v Air & Wall, Campbell.
Myers et al v Carnecy, Campbell.
Lee's ad'mr v Emeel, Colard et al, Campbell.
Same v Laughlin, Campbell.
Michele v Shilke's Camp, Boone.

Eighty-tenth Day—16th September.

Kennedy v Covington City, Kenton.
Sanford v McArthur et al, Campbell.
Eighty-eleventh Day—17th September.
Hansborough v Dickinson, for Little, Grant.
Hedger v Redenour, Grant.
Wickster et al v Wickster, Grant.
Johnson v Davis' heirs, et al, Boone.
Piatt v Piatt's ex'or, Boone.
Hume v Hume's ad'mr, Boone.

Eighty-twelfth Day—18th September.

Niles v Collins & Gray, Boone.
Turrell's heirs v Weaver, Boone.
Lindsey & Dudley v Craig's ad'mr, Boone.
Michele v Shilke's Camp, Boone.
Kennedy v Covington City, Kenton.
Sanford v McArthur et al, Campbell.
Eighty-thirteenth Day—19th September.
Newport City v Air & Wall, Campbell.
Myers et al v Carnecy, Campbell.
Lee's ad'mr v Emeel, Colard et al, Campbell.
Same v Laughlin, Campbell.
Michele v Shilke's Camp, Boone.

Eighty-fourth Day—20th September.

Kennedy v Covington City, Kenton.
Sanford v McArthur et al, Campbell.
Eighty-fifth Day—21st September.
Hansborough v Dickinson, for Little, Grant.
Hedger v Redenour, Grant.
Wickster et al v Wickster, Grant.
Johnson v Davis' heirs, et al, Boone.
Piatt v Piatt's ex'or, Boone.
Hume v Hume's ad'mr, Boone.

Eighty-sixth Day—22nd September.

Niles v Collins & Gray, Boone.
Turrell's heirs v Weaver, Boone.
Lindsey & Dudley v Craig's ad'mr, Boone.
Michele v Shilke's Camp, Boone.
Kennedy v Covington City, Kenton.
Sanford v McArthur et al, Campbell.
Eighty-seventh Day—23rd September.
Newport City v Air & Wall, Campbell.
Myers et al v Carnecy, Campbell.
Lee's ad'mr v Emeel, Colard et al, Campbell.
Same v Laughlin, Campbell.
Michele v Shilke's Camp, Boone.

Eighty-eighth Day—24th September.

Kennedy v Covington City, Kenton.
Sanford v McArthur et al, Campbell.
Eighty-ninth Day—25th September.
Hansborough v Dickinson, for Little, Grant.
Hedger v Redenour, Grant.
Wickster et al v Wickster, Grant.
Johnson v Davis' heirs, et al, Boone.
Piatt v Piatt's ex'or, Boone.
Hume v Hume's ad'mr, Boone.

Eighty-tenth Day—26th September.

Niles v Collins & Gray, Boone.
Turrell's heirs v Weaver, Boone.
Lindsey & Dudley v Craig's ad'mr, Boone.
Michele v Shilke's Camp, Boone.
Kennedy v Covington City, Kenton.
Sanford v McArthur et al, Campbell.
Eighty-eleventh Day—27th September.
Newport City v Air

